US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# THIC REPORT CONTAINS CONFIDENTIAL. BUSINESS INFORMATION. # 815 A

Shaughnessy #: 079101

	. E.	AB Logout Due Date:	0 7 SEP 1984
		Init:	SIL
To:	Wm Miller Product Manager # 16 Registration Division	n (TS-767)	
From:	Lionel A. Richardson Environmental Chemis Exposure Assessment Hazard Evaluation Div	Chief, Allew, try Review Section # 3	
Attached p	lease find the EAB rev	view of	
Reg./File	No.: 476-2109		
Chemical:_	Aspon		
	. )		
Type Produ	ct: I.		
Product Na	•		
Company Na	me: Stauffer Chemical Co	mnan v	
		Standard	,
•		Stamarn	
		<u>.</u>	
ZBB Code:	3 (c)(5)	ACTION CODE: 606	
Date In:	7/11/84	EAB #4458	
Date Compl	eted: 9/7/84	TAIS (level II)	Da vs
		42	13.5
Deferrals	To:		
Eco	logical Effects Branch	1	
	idue Chemistry Branch		_
	icology Branch	• /	·

CONFIDENTIAL

CASE GS U/S	101 DISC	; 30 '	TOPIC	050520	GUIDELINE	40 CF	R 162-1	
CHEM ASPON								
BRANCH EAB	DISC	<del></del>						
FORMULATION	00 - ACTIVE	INGREDIE	ENT					÷
FICHE/MASTER				 т Сат		ب مبت ست هند خبد	<b>.</b>	, <del></del>
Aspon aero Unpublished. S Registration St	bic soil metaboubmitted by Staandard.	uffer Chem	nical C	ompany, Ju	11y 2, 1984	in su	pport of	d 2.
SUBST. CLASS	=							
DIRECT RVW TI	ME =	(MH) S						
REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:	H.L. Boyd Chemist EAB Room 807 - I	 :	2		)*			•
SIGNATURE:	Idudian	& Pory	d				DATE:	7-7-8
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:							.ee 400 400 in in ee 200 i	
SIGNATURE:							DATE:	
CONCLUSION:								-
	lidity of this attached.	study cann	not be	evaluated	for reasons	enum	erated	

### CONFIDENTIAL

PROJECT 148250 - Aspon (MIR 24-7-83) Interim Reports No. 1 & 2

The validity of this study and the value of the data derived therefrom cannot be evaluated from the rambling, incoherent writeups (Reports 1 and 2). If the report writers know how the experiment was conducted and what was accomplished, they failed to communicate the fact. In some points, Report #2 (a follow-up) differs from Report #1 about the same steps in the method.

A few questions are:

In what solvent was Aspon dissolved for the biometer flask study(s)? Was this the same solvent used for treating the flasks without soil?

Were any flasks without soil treated with Aspon? Was the value of soil treatment 7.xxx ppm or 6.xxx ppm?

It is stated: "Control flasks included two flasks treated with solvent only" (what was the solvent?), and "two flasks without soil (blank controls)". Yet, Table 2 Report 2, describes the four control flasks as two being blank controls (no soil) and two being "untreated controls (soil not treated with Aspon)." It is difficult to visualize just what happened.

In the discussion of results (Study 2) reference is made of flask treatment with several dilutions of Aspon, yet in the materials and methods section for soil preparation and treatment no mention is made of the dilutions. Obviously, the statement that sixteen flasks were treated with about 6.63 - 6.72 ppm Aspon is not wholely true.

It is not intended that the above are all of the questions unanswered by the write ups. The researchers should know what they did, how they did it, and what the results were. Given that information in clear, straight-forward English we will be in a position to judge whether registration requirements (re: aerobic metabolism) have been satisfied.

CONFIDENTIAL

CASE GS 07	<sup>7</sup> 9101 <sup>1</sup>	OISC 30	TOPIC 050515	GUIDELINE 40 CFR	163.1
CHEM Aspon					
BRANCH EAB				,	
FORMULATION	00 - ACTI	VE INGRED	IENT		
Unpublished st	the adsorptio tudy PMS 131; July 2, 1984	n/desorption MR <b>C</b> -83-05	dated June 1, tof Registration	n and its metabolit 1983, and submitted	es in soil. by Stauffer
SUBST. CLASS	:=			·	
DIRECT RVW I	'IME =	(MH)	START-DATE	END	DATE
ORG:	Hudson Boy Chemist EAB Room 807-I		557 - 02 67		
SIGNATURE:	Hardren	1 Boyd			DATE: 9-7-8X
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:					
SIGNATURE:					DATE:

#### CONCLUSION:

- 1. This study is scientifically valid, but see <u>Discussion</u> section.
- 2. Neither Aspon nor its soil metabolites are expected to be significantly mobile in sand, loam, nor sandy loam soils, and should not contaminate ground water by leaching through the soil.
- This study fulfills EPA Data Requirements for Registering Pesticides (1983) Sec. 163.1 (leaching) by providing data on adsorption/desorption and mobility in four soils.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

0,0,0,0-Tetra-n-propyl dithiopyrophosphate - Aspon

Chemicals. 14C-Aspon, 90.2% purity (by 2-D TLC) from the DeGuine Technical Center, Stauffer Chemical Co., with a specific activity of 14.16 mCi/m Mole (83,200 dpm/ug) was used in these studies. For the mobility studies, 14C-2,4-D, carboxy label, and a specific activity of 5.1 mCi/m Mole (51,200 dpm/ug) was used. It appears from the results section of the report that the radiopurity of the 2,4-D was 96.8%. (See remarks in the conclusion section), The 2,4-D was obtained from ICN Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Irvine, CA.

Soils. Four soils, Keeton sandy loam, Sorrente loam, Felton sand, and Prairie loam, were used for soil thin-layer mobility studies and for adsorption/desorption studies. Soil organic matter contents ranged from 1.1 - 6.2% and soil pH ranged from 5.7 to 6.9. Soils were screened to 500u for these studies.

Soil metabolites of Aspon were studied from \$14\$C-Aspon applied to the Sorrento loam at 8 ppm and aged for 28 days in a dark environment at 22°C. The treated soil was extracted with acetone/methanol (ratio not given). After concentration on a rotary vacuum evaporator the extract was partioned with diethylether. The ether extract was further concentrated, assayed by liquid scintillation counting (LSC) and percent distribution of \$14\$C made by 2-D TLC.

Stock solutions of <sup>14</sup>C-Aspon were prepared by evaporating measured (unspecified) volumes of the chemical in ether to dryness in a nitrogen atmosphere and then taking up the residues in distilled water. After sonic mixing the resulting solution was assaying by LSC and labeled 1X. Subsequently, by serial dilution, solutions of 0.1x and 0.01x were prepared. Aliquots of these solutions were also assayed by LSC.

Liquid scintillation counting of "appropriately sized aliquots" was accomplished in triplicate using a scintillation cocktail (3a7OB from Research Products Corp, El% Grove Village, Ill.) and a Packard Liquid Scintillation Spectrometer equipped with an automatic external standard (AES). Background noise was accounted for and counting efficiencies were determined from an AES ratio or channels ratio vs counting efficiency curve.

Radioactivity in soil samples was determined by combustion in a Packard Sample Oxidizer, with \$^{14}CO\_2\$ being trapped in Carbosorb combined with a scintillation cocktail prior to LSC analysis.

Soil thin-layer chromatography was employed to predict the leaching potential of Aspon and its degradates; \$1^4C-2,4-D\$ was used as a reference for comparative purposes. Solvent systems of hexane/ether (1:1) and toluene were used for \$1^4C-Aspon\$ and ether/hexane/ formic acid (70/80/2) for \$1^4C-2,4-D\$. Samples were spotted on Merck silica gel 60 F254 plates, 20 x 20 cm with 0.60 mm thick layers. Non-radioactive Aspon was detected by use of a spray reagent, DCQ (2,6-dibromo-N-chloro-p-benzoquinone imine) in cyclohexane, followed by exposure to HCl vapors ---- Aspon appeared as an orange spot on a yellow background.

Radioactive spots were visualized by autoradiography using Kodak SB-5 x-ray film. Radioactive areas of TLC plates were scraped into scintillation vials containing 1 ml MeOH and scintillation cocktail and assayed by LSC.

Mobility studies. Water slurries of each soil were prepared and applied as 0.5 mm layers to individual 20 x 20 cm glass plates and allowed to air dry. Aliquots of an acetone solution of  $^{14}\text{C-}$  Aspon (41 ug in 10 uL) were applied to the origins of triplicate plates of each soil type.

"Aged" Aspon extract (9.1 ug in 60 uL) (apparently from each of the four soils) was applied to two sets of plates and  $^{14}C-2,4-D$  (38 ug in 10 uL acetone) was applied to the third set of plates.

When the plates were air dry they were placed in TLC tanks with distilled H<sub>2</sub>O until movement had proceeded 12 cm or until 6-hrs had elapsed.

After removal of the plates from the TLC tank they were allowed to air dry for a short period. They were then wrapped in plastic film and "autoradiographed" against Kodak SB-5 film. Movement of the chemicals was recovered as Rf values: Top of origin spot to top of leading edge of the mobile compound divided by the value of a similar measurement to the water front. By scraping 1cm sections of soil from one of the triplicate plates of each soil and analyzing by combustion the percent distribution of the chemical in the chromatographic zone was calculated.

Adsorption Determinations. To 2.5g soil in a 30ml screw cap centrifuge tube was added 10~ml of a stock solution containing Aspon. After sealing with a cap this tube was agitated for 45-90~minutes in the laboratory at  $20-21^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Following agitation the tubes were centrifuged for 10~min @ 3000~rpm (800xg) and triplicate aliquots of the supernatant were assayed by LSC. Controls, tubes with solution but no soil, and tubes with soil and distilled  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  but without Aspon, were run to determine how much Aspon was adsorbed on/by the glass.

Data were recorded as follows:

C = Concentration of  $^{14}C$ -Aspon in aqueous stock solution (ug/ml)

Co = Concentration in blank solutions (no soil) after equilibration
 for 45 min. @ 20°C (ug/ml)

Cw = Solution concentration after equilibration with soil 45
 min. @ 20°C (ug/ml)

Cs = Soil concentration following equilibration for 45 min. @
 20°C (ug/g)

Adsorption coefficients Ka for Aspon were determined from the Freundlich equation as follows:

$$(1) \quad C_s = KC_w^{1/n}$$

(2)  $\log C_S = \log K + 1/n \log Cw$ 

where K = adsorption coefficient

CONFIDENTIAL

1

n = constant

Cw was measured directly by assaying the solution after equilibration.  $C_{\text{S}}$  was calculated from

$$(3) \quad C_{S} = \frac{(Co - Cw)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{m} V$$

where, Co = see above

V = Total volume of solution (m1)

m = mass of soil in grams

CONFIDENTIAL

Least-squares linear regression analysis was performed on the data using equation (2) previously mentioned.

#### Desorption Determinations.

Stock solutions of  $^{14}\text{C-Aspon}$  in ether were prepared at CA 20, 2.0, and 0.2 ppm, and 1-ml aliquots were applied to 2.5g soil in centrifuge tubes as described under adsorption. The ether was evaporated in vacuo, 10 ml dist.  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  was added, and the tubes were agitated on a wrist-action shaker for 60 min. The tubes were then centrifuged and contents assayed by LSC as previously described. Controls included untreated solution/soil mixtures used for LSC background measurements and treated solutions without soil to determined amounts not desorbed from glass.

Desorption, Kd values, were calculated from C, Co, Cw and Cs as described for adsorption and Cs = KCw (log Cs = log K + l/n log Cw).

Following adsorption and desorption runs the supernatants were extracted with ether and the soils with acetone followed by ether. After drying over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated on a RVE the extracts were analyzed by TLC.

Similarly, plates from Keeton and Sorrento soils were extracted and aliquots subjected to LSC analysis for  $^{14}\mathrm{C}$ ; extracted soils were combusted to account for unextractable  $^{14}\mathrm{C}$ . From these results the purity of the Aspon on soil thin-layer plates was ascertained.

#### RESULTS

The extract of the 28-day "soil aged" (Sorrento loam) Aspon reportedly contained 75% of the originally applied \$^{14}\$C and 87% of the soil bound residue (13% bound \$^{14}\$C). The extract consisted of 90.8% Aspon, 5.1% of an unknown 2.3% \$^{14}\$C at the origin on a 2-D TLC plate, and 1.8% other non-polar compounds. Note: Just what this means in terms of adsorption/desorption/mobility was not explained. It appears that Aspon would be expected to metabolize slowly in Sorrento loam and under the test conditions. See "metabolites" in the Materials and Methods section of this report.

To assure that the observed results in the adsorption/desorption studies were representative of Aspon rather than of an impurity or a degradate certain confirmation tests were made:

- a. In the preparation of stock solutions Aspon was equilibrated with water in the absence of an organic solvent to eliminate inconsistencies arising when a aliquot of  $^{14}\mathrm{C-}$  Aspon in ether was added to water.
- b. Equilibration of Aspon solutions was limited to 45-60 minutes to reduce the adsorption of the pesticide to glass.
- c. Stock solutions were prepared daily because of the general instability of Aspon in water even at refrigerator temperatures. Solutions were shown to be stable for at least 24 hours, a period in excess of the equilibration times.
- d. Ether extractions (>90%  $^{14}\mathrm{C}$  removed from soil and water samples) followed by TLC analyses of extracts confirmed the stability of Aspon in adsorption and desorption runs. Calculated values for  $^{14}\mathrm{C}$ -Aspon adsorbed to the soil were confirmed by combustion analyses of the soils and by assays of ether soil extracts.

Adsorption coefficient (Ka) values for Aspon in Keeton soil ranged from 32 to 61 with an average of  $48 \pm 12$ ; for Sorrento a soil the Ka values ranged from 53 to 61 with an average of  $61 \pm 8$ . Ka values varied widely due to the adsorption of Aspon on glass;

COFFEENTIAL

the amount of <sup>14</sup>C adsorbed to glass varied from 10-30% depending upon solution concentration (greated adsorption to glass with more dilute solutions). Ka correlation coefficients, determined from plotting the data using the Freundlich equation, exceeded 0.99, indicating excellent correlation over a range of concentrations from 0.01 to 1.0. It was proposed that some correlation existed between Ka and organic matter content and that:

 $K_{OC} = (Ka/% 0C) \times 100 = (Ka/(%OM/1.724)) \times 100$ 

oc = organic carbon adsorption coefficient

CONFIDENTI

 $\rm K_{OC}$  for Keeton and Sorrento soils was reported to be 7500 and 6200, respectively, indicating a material with little soil mobility.

Desorption coefficient (Kd) values ranged from 36 to 75 with an average of  $57 \pm 26$  for Keeton sandy loam and from 84 to 141 with an average of  $109 \pm 27$  for Sorrento loam. Glass tubes retained a portion of the applied  $^{14}\text{C-Aspon}$ , just as happened in the adsorption studies. All correlation coefficient values exceeded 0.99 indicating good correlation with the Freundlich isotherm. Moreover, the desorption Freundlich constants were all greater than the corresponding adsorption constants. It was judged that Aspon does not desorb as readily as it adsorbs (to the soils tested) and that the factors influencing desorption differ from those influencing adsorption.

Rf values for Aspon and its 28-day soil metabolites ranged from  $0.0 \pm 0$  to  $0.08 \pm 0.08$  on the soils tested placing them in EPA mobility class 1 for immobile compounds. By comparison, the Rf values for  $^{14}\text{C}-2$ ,4-D ranged from 0.74 to 0.90 for a mobility class 4. Combustion analyses of the chromatography zones of the TLC plates confirmed the Rf values reported. Moreover, confirmatory tests were made to assure that the results from the mobility studies truely reflected  $^{14}\text{C}-\text{Aspon}$  and not a breakdown product or an impurity. The immobility of Aspon on soil thin layers corresponded with the large adsorption/desorption coefficients.

DAFDENTAL.

#### DISCUSSION

l. Despite a grossly deficient report on research to fill data gaps on the registrant's pesticide it was concluded that the study(s) was/were valid. An exhorbitant amount of time and effort was required by this reviewer to rearrange, rewrite, and/or abstract the submitted report to avoid outright rejection. It would be in the registrant's interest to assure that technical reports clearly tell what was done, how it was done, and what the results were - step-by-step. In other words, to see that the technical report is as well presented as was the experiment performed. This message should be relayed to the registrant.

CORTATIVITAL

CASE GS 07	9101 DISC	30	TOPIC 051015	GUIDELINE 40 CFR	161-2
CHEM ASPON					9
BRANCH EAB	DISC				
FORMULATION	00 - ACTIVE		DIENT		
FICHE/MASTER	ID No Fiche		CONTENT CAT -	01	
Report No. RRC	s of Aspon in Wa 83-28. Unpubli in support of Re	shed.	Submitted by Stau	ne Technical Center offer Chemical Compa	r. Iný
SUBST. CLASS	=				
DIRECT RVW T	IME =	(MH)	START-DATE	END	DATE
REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:	H.L. Bodd Chemist EAB Room 807-I	557	-0267	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SIGNATURE:	1 dudrun	13	vyd	. هود موده موده همد همد همد والموافقة والموافقة المالة المالة المالة المالة المالة المالة المالة المالة المالة	DATE: 9-7-8
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:			V		
SIGNATURE:					DATE:
CONCLUSION:					

1. This study was not conducted in nor reported in a scientific manner and cannot be accepted as valid for the re-registration of Aspon.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

0,0,0,0-Tetra-n-propyl dithiopyrophosphate = Aspon

Aspon, "analytical reference standard" of 97.0% purity, obtained from Stauffer Chemical Company was dissolved in methanol and added to millipore water (supposedly sterile water) to prepare a stock solution (concentration not stated).

Unspecified volumes of the stock solution were transferred to two bottles and kept as dark controls.

Apparently some quantity of the stock solution was exposed to artificial sunlight although the description is too vague to be sure. Aliquots of "the photolysis solution" and of solutions from dark controls were taken at "various times", extracted with toluene, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and analyzed on an "NP-GC (HP588OA)" whatever that is.

RESULTS

It is stated under "Results and Discussion" rather than "Methods" that a concentration of 0.04 ppm was chosen for this study. Judging from data submitted it appears that Aspon was subject to photolysis (time not specified) but it is impossible to state factually to what degree. For instance, although a concentration

A. STEVINAL

DOFFIETHAL

of 0.04 ppm was used, the concentration at Day 0 was only 0.022 ppm.

#### DISCUSSION

1. The experiment was not reported in a scientific manner and lacks evidence of having been conducted scientifically.

Consequently any work performed or reported is unacceptable for the reregistration of Aspon.

CASE GS 0/910	DISC	3U 	TOPIC 020220	GUIDELINE 40 CFR	107-1
CHEM Aspon					
BRANCH EAB					
FORMULATION	00 - ACTIVE				1
FICHE/MASTER ID Dissipation A-13592 Project	on study - soil	& turf	CONTENT CAT.: ? . Stauffer Chem		eport FSDS No.
SUBST. CLASS			START-DATE	END	DATE
REVIEWED BY: TITLE: ORG:		d		END	
SIGNATURE:	Hudran	1130	yd		DATE: 9-7-84
APPROVED BY: TITLE: ORG: LOC/TEL:					
SIGNATURE:		•			DATE:
CONCLUSION:					

l. The data from this project cannot be evaluated because of the lack of protocols. The report consists of a lot of numbers without any description of how the study was conducted, how the samples were analyzed, what controls were used, or any other information incumbent upon any scientist preparing a technical report intended to inform his audience.